EXHIBIT A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS

Index No.:

ROXANE GRAY.

Plaintiff(s),

Plaintiff designates COUNTY OF KINGS As the place of trial The basis of the venue is PLAINTIFF'S residence

against

SUMMONS

Plaintiff's residence is 975 Lafayette Ave. County of KINGS

FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK, INC. and DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.,

Defendant(s).

To the above-named defendant(s)

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the plaintiff's Attorney(s) within 20 days after the service of this summons, excluded of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer a judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Date: April 9, 2021

Mark J. Linder Mark J. Linder, Esq. HARMON, LINDER & ROGOWSKY Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 3 Park Avenue, Suite 2300 New York, NY 10016

Defendants' address:

FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK, INC. DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. c/o Corporation Service Company 80 State St.

Albany, NY 12207

c/o Corporation Service Company 80 State St. Albany, NY 12207

COUNTY OF KINGS	X
ROXANE GRAY,	Plaintiff,
-against-	VERIFIED COMPLAINT Index No.:
FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.,	, INC. and
,	Defendants.
Plaintiff complaining of the defendants h	x rerein, by her attornevs HARMON, LINDER &

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

 That at the time of the commencement of this action plaintiff was a resident of the County of Kings and State of New York.

ROGOWSKY, respectfully sets forth and alleges as follows:

- 2. That defendant FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK, INC. was and still is a foreign business corporation organized in Virginia and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York; said defendant is conducting business in the State of New York.
- 3. That defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. was and still is a foreign business corporation organized in Virginia and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York; said defendant is conducting business in the State of New York.
- 4. That at all times herein mentioned, the location of the plaintiff's accident giving rise to this action was inside the Family Dollar store on and upon the lands and premises located at 1165A Broadway a/k/a 1165 Broadway, in the County of Kings and State of New York.

- 5. That on **December 17, 2019** at approximately 5:30 pm the plaintiff herein was lawfully walking at said location and sustained injuries due to negligent, dangerous and/or defective conditions. Said conditions include but are not limited to the following. The plaintiff was caused to trip and fall due to condition(s) including: (1) defective floors; (2) water, slippery substances and/or foreign substances/debris/baskets on the floor; (3) absence of non-slip floor matting; (4) flooring materials that became excessively slippery when wet/substance covered; (5) presence of excessive wax and/or floor sealant; (6) flooring materials that were uneven, broken, cracked, dilapidated, etc. and/or (7) inadequate illumination and thereby sustaining severe injuries as hereinafter set forth, due to the negligence of the defendants herein.
- 6. The defendants, at all relevant times, and for many days and weeks prior thereto, caused, created, allowed and/or permitted the floors of said location to remain in a dangerous condition.
- 7. The defendants knew or should have known of said dangerous conditions and should have taken action to correct said conditions.
- 8. The defendants, at the time of the accident, negligently caused, created, allowed and/or permitted the floor to remain in said dangerous condition and failed to correct the condition. The defendants had actual and constructive notice of the condition on the day of the accident.
- 9. That defendant FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK, INC. owned or leased said location.
- That defendant FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK, INC. operated said location.

- 11. That defendant FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK, INC. managed said location.
- 12. That defendant FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK, INC. maintained said location.
- 13. That defendant FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK, INC. controlled said location.
- 14. That defendant FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK, INC. through its acts and/or omissions inadequately and/or improperly owned, operated, managed, maintained and/or controlled said location.
- 15. That defendant FAMILY DOLLAR STORES OF NEW YORK, INC. through its acts and/or omissions negligently, carelessly, inadequately and/or improperly cleaned/repaired/inspected and/or failed to clean/repair/inspect said location.
- That defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. owned or leased said location.
- 17. That defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. operated said location.
- That defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. managed said location.
- 19. That defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. maintained said location.
- That defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. controlled said location.
- 21. That defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. through its acts and/or omissions inadequately and/or improperly owned, operated, managed, maintained and/or controlled said location.
- 22. That defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. through its acts and/or omissions negligently, carelessly, inadequately and/or improperly cleaned/repaired/inspected and/or failed to clean/repair/inspect said location.

- 23. That the defendants were at all times under a duty to keep said location in a safe, proper and secured manner to prevent injury to the plaintiff and others, in a condition free from dangerous conditions.
- 24. That defendants, their agents, servants and/or employees thorough their acts and/or omissions were careless and negligent in the ownership, operation and control of said location; in causing, allowing and/or permitting said location to become and remain in dangerous condition, unmaintained, negligent, improper and/or unsafe condition; in failing to make proper, adequate, timely and necessary repairs; in causing, allowing and/or permitting said location to be and remain in a hazardous condition; in failing to make proper, timely and adequate inspection thereof; in failing to maintain the aforesaid premises in a proper manner; in the negligent and dangerous design, installation, maintenance and management of the aforesaid area; in failing to set up proper safeguards and/or barriers; in failing to warn persons lawfully traversing the area of the aforesaid dangerous and hazardous condition; in failing to have sufficient and adequate manpower; in failing to protect invitee of said area; in creating a nuisance or trap; in failing to provide and/or use proper equipment; in failing to correct a reoccurring dangerous condition; and in otherwise failing to use due care, caution and prudence on the premises.
- 25. That as a result of the foregoing, the plaintiff was caused to and did sustain severe and serious injuries and was required to seek and obtain medical care and attention in an effort to cure and alleviate same and, upon information and belief will be compelled to do so in the future.
- 26. That this occurrence and the injuries sustained by the plaintiff were caused by the negligence of defendants, without any negligence on the part of the plaintiff contributing there to.

- 27. That this action falls within one or more of the exceptions set forth in Section 1602 of the CPLR.
- 28. That by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff has been damaged in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts that would otherwise have jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

- 29. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "28", as if the same were fully hereinafter set forth at length.
- 30. That the defendants' conduct as earlier described was negligent and careless in *interalia*:
 - (a) Failing to take proper precautions for the safety and wellbeing of the plaintiff,
 - (b) That the defendants were negligent in the hiring, screening, training, and supervising of its employees, agents, contractors, etc.,
 - (c) Failing to adopt appropriate procedures for the protection of invitees including the plaintiff,
 - (d) Negligence at law.
- 31. That the defendants should have known that its failure in such regards would cause harm.
- 32. That by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff has been damaged in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts that would otherwise have jurisdiction.

FILED: KINGS COUNTY CLERK 04/09/2021 03:31 PM INDEX NO. 508438/2021 NYSCEF DOC: NO. 11-CV-00547-FB-MMH DOCUMENT 1-2 Filed 11/23/21 Page 8 of 10 Page 11/23/21 Page 8 of 10 Page 11/23/21

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendants on the First and Second Causes of Action together with interest and the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated:

New York, New York

April 9, 2021

Mark J. Linder
Mark J. Linder, Esq.
HARMON, LINDER & ROGOWSKY
Attorneys for Plaintiff
3 Park Avenue, 23rd Floor, Suite 2300
New York, NY 10016
ACD

FILED: KINGS COUNTY CLERK 04/09/2021 03:31 PM INDEX NO. 508438/2021 Page 9 of 10 Page 15/4/09/2021 Page 9 of 10 Page 15/4/09/2021

ATTORNEY'S VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

I, the undersigned, am an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York
State, and say that:

I am the attorney of record or of counsel with the attorney(s) of record for the plaintiff.

I have read the annexed SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and know the contents thereof and the same are true to my knowledge, except those matters therein which are stated to be alleged on information and belief. As to those matters, I believe them to be true. My belief, as to those matters therein not stated upon knowledge is based upon the following:

Interviews and/or discussions held with the plaintiff(s) and papers and/or documents in the file.

The reason I make this affirmation instead of the plaintiff is because said plaintiff resides outside of the county from where your deponent maintains his office for the practice of law.

Dated:

New York, NY April 9, 2021

Mark J. Linder

Mark J. Linder, Esq.

INDEX NO. 508438/2021

Index No.:		Year:			
SUPREME COL COUNTY OF K		TATE OF NEW YO	DRK		
ROXANE GRAY	Υ,				
			Plair	ntiff(s),	
-a	gainst-		-		
FAMILY DOLLA DOLLAR TREE		F NEW YORK, INC		ndant(s).	

51070 -	VERIF	IED SUMMONS A	ND COMPLAINT 		
		HARMON, LINDER Attorneys fo 3 Park Avenue New York, I 12) 732-3665 Fax	or Plaintiff e, Suite 2300 NY 10016		
To: Attorney(s) for					
Service of a Copy of the within			is hereby admitted.		
Dated:			Attorneys for		
PLEASE TAKE NO	TICE:				
NOTICE OF ENTRY		is a (certified) true cop office of the clerk of the	by of a within named Court on	20	
NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT Dated:	that an Order of Hon.		true copy will be presented es of the within named Cour M.		
	H	HARMON, LINDER & F Attorneys for Pl	aintiff		

New York, NY 10016 Tel: (212) 732-3665 Fax: (212) 732-1462